UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,374	11/21/2003	Helen Routh	PHUS020537	3599	
	28159 7590 11/25/2008 PHILIPS MEDICAL SYSTEMS			EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			LUBIN, VALERIE		
	P.O. BOX 3003 22100 BOTHELL EVERETT HIGHWAY BOTHELL, WA 98041-3003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOTHELL, WA			3626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,374	ROUTH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VALERIE LUBIN	3626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 22-29 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 22-29 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examing 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and are subjected to by the Examing 10.	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b) □ objected to by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/06, 4/30/04, 11/21/03.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/719,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626 Paper No. 20081030

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Acknowledgements

1. Claims 22-29 are pending

For reference purposes, the document paper number is 20081030

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pflugrath et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,603,323.
- 4. With respect to claim 22, Pflugrath recites a method comprising the steps of installing a network (Fig. 1 element 104; col. 3 lines 39-41), obtaining a diagnostic signal acquisition unit (Fig. 1 element 100; col. 3 lines 36-37), coupling the diagnostic signal acquisition unit to the data network (Fig. 1 element 104), coupling a network data processor to the data network (Fig. 1 element 120), and obtaining a display unit (Fig. 2 element 40; col. 4 lines 14-20).

Pflugrath does not recite a plurality of units, however; Applicant is merely repeating the steps of Pflugrath for more than one signal acquisition unit and display unit. It has been held that the, "mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and

Application/Control Number: 10/719,374 Page 3

Art Unit: 3626 Paper No. 20081030

unexpected result is produced." (In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)). It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to apply the teachings to Pflugrath for a plurality of units to be able to assist more patients at one time and increase the capacity of the system.

Claims 25 is rejected under the analysis of claim 22.

- 5. Claim 23 is rejected, as Pflugrath recites a control unit and coupling the control unit to the data network (Fig. 2 element 20; col. 3 lines 66-67, col. 4 lines 1-2).
- 6. Claim 24 is rejected, as Pflugrath discloses an ultrasound system (Abstract).
- 7. Claim 26 is rejected, as Pflugrath discloses a diagnostic signal acquisition having expanded capabilities (Col. 3 lines 25-29).
- 8. With respect to claim 27, Pflugrath recites loading upgrade software into the network data processor (Col. 3 lines 51-58).
- 9. Claim 28 is rejected as Pflugrath recites uploading upgraded software from the network data processor to at least one diagnostic acquisition unit (Col. 3 lines 51-58).
- 10. Claim 29 is rejected, as Pflugrath discloses adding new processing capability to the network (Col 3 lines 54-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,374 Page 4

Art Unit: 3626 Paper No. 20081030

## Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VALERIE LUBIN whose telephone number is (571)270-5295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher L. Gilligan can be reached on 571-272-6770. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VL

/C Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626